
# **STATUS REPORT**

Dissostichus eleginoides

Common Name: Patagonian toothfish

FAO-ASFIS Code: TOP




2015

Updated: 09-Oct-15

#### 1. Description of the fishery

### 1.1 Description of fishing vessels and fishing gear

Fishing for Patagonian toothfish in the SEAFO CA started around 2002. The main fishing countries working in the area include vessels from Japan, South Korea, Spain and South Africa. Historically a maximum of four vessels per year fished in the SEAFO CA. The Spanish longline system and the Trotline (Fig. 1) are the fishing gears commonly used.








Figure 1: Fishing gears used to fish D. eleginoides: Spanish longline system (top) and the Trotline (bottom).

### 1.2 Spatial and temporal distribution of fishing

In SEAFO CA, the fishery from 2010 to 2014took place in Sub-Area D, being concentrated over seamounts in Division D1, at Discovery seamount and also at seamounts located in the western part of Sub-Area D (Fig. 2).





**Figure 2:** Reported catch of Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus* eleginoides) aggregated to 100km diameter hexagonal cells (2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014).

Table 1: Number of sets by year and location

Year	Western	Discovery	D1- Meteor
2010	27	5	118
2011	1	207	54
2012	68	207	25
2013	0	108	57
2014	100	64*	13

<sup>\*</sup>No catch information provided for 56 sets

Table 1 shows that the main fishing ground is located on Discovery seamount and also in D1 but less hauls were deployed in the western seamounts of Sub-Area D.

## 1.3 Reported retained catches and discards

Table 2A presents data on Patagonian toothfish catches and discards listed by country, as well as fishing gear used and the management area from which catches were taken. Annual catches varied between 18t (2002) and 413t (2007). Discards were mainly due to parasite infection of fish. In the last three years with complete data (2012, 2013 and 2014) retained catches were 122, 61 and 74 t respectively and the annual weight of discarded specimens was 3, 3 and 2 t in the three year period.

Table 2: Catches (tons) of Patagonian toothfish (Dissostichuseleginoides) by South Africa, Spain, Japan and Korea.

Nation	Sp	ain		Jaj	pan			Ko	rea			South	Africa	
Fishing method	Long	glines		Longlines				Long	glines		Longlines			
Management Area	I	00	I	D0 D1		D0		I	D1		<b>D</b> 0		D1	
Catch details (t)	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.
2002	18													
2003	101				47		245	0						
2004	6				124									
2005	N/F	N/F			158		15	0						
2006	11				152		7	0						
2007	N/F		151		15		247	0						
2008	N/F	N/F	19	0	104	0	79	0						
2009	N/F	N/F	82	0	4	0	16	0	46	0	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F
2010	26	0	41	0	12	2	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F
2011	N/F	N/F	172	6	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	15	0	28	0
2012	N/F	N/F	86	3	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	24	0	12	0
2013	N/F	N/F	41	2	20	1	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F
2014	N/F	N/F	68	<1	6	<1	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F
2015*	N/F	N/F	51	<1	0	0	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F

N/F = No Fishing.

Blank fields = No data available.

\*Provisional (Sep 2015).

Ret. = Retained

Disc. = Discarded

Table 2Bpresents data on Atlantic toothfish catches and discards listed by country, as well as fishing gear used and the management area from which catches were taken.

Table 2B: Catches (tons) of Antarctic toothfish (Dissostichusmawsoni) by South Africa, Spain, Japan and Korea.

Nation	Sp	ain	Japan			Korea				South Africa				
Fishing method	Long	glines	Longlines			Longlines				Longlines				
Management Area	rea D0		D0 D1		D0 D1			D0		D1				
Catch details (t)	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.	Ret.	Disc.
2014	N/F	N/F	< 1	0	0	0	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F
2015*	N/F	N/F	0	0	0	0	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F	N/F

N/F = No Fishing.

Blank fields = No data available.

\*Provisional (Sep 2015).

Ret. = Retained

Disc. = Discarded

Retained and discarded bycatch from the patagonian toothfish fishery are presented in Table 3. The two most important species (in terms of weight) are grenadiers (GRV) and Blue antimora (ANT).

**Table 3**: Retained and discarded by catch from the Patagonian toothfishfisheries (kg).

		20	009			201	0		2	011			2012				2013			2014		
	Retair	ned	Dis	carded	Reta	ined	Disc	arded	Retained	Discarded	Reta	ined	Discare	ded	Reta	ined	Discar	ded	Reta	ined	Disca	rded
Species	D0	D1	D0	D1	D0	D1	D0	D1	D0	D0	D0	D1	D0	D1	D0	D1	D0	D1	D0	D1	D0	D1
GRV			89	5 833	4 047	1 936	93	2 601		22 414			23 705	186			7 273	869				267
ANT			126	4 786			453	1 348		4 794			4 442	65			796	610			329	106
BYR	1 221		573																			
MCC			336	896																		
BYR																						
BEA	360																					
MZZ								168														
SRX										30			124				20					
MRL			108					1		2			37				1					
COX			2							21			75									
SKH			90																			
LEV			36				4															
KCX				1			3	35									83	10				
HYD													31				17					
BUK							17															
NOX										7												
MWS										6												
ETF																	3					
SEC													2									
SSK							2															
CKH							1	1														
KCF			1																			
TOA																			99			
RTX																					1122	1

ANT:Blue antimora (Antimora rostrata); BEA:Eaton's skate (Bathyraja eatonii); BYR:Kerguelen sandpaper skate (Bathyraja irrasa); COX:Conger eels, etc. nei (Congridae); CKH:Abyssal grenadier (Coryphaenoides armatus); BUK:Butterfly kingfish (Gasterochisma melampus); HYD:Ratfishes nei (Hydrolagus spp); LEV:Lepidion codlings nei (Lepidion spp); KCX:King crabs, stone crabs nei (Lithodidae); MCC:Ridge scaled rattail (Macrourus carinatus); GRV:Grenadiers nei (Macrourus spp); MWS:Smallhead moray cod (Muraenolepis microcephalus); MRL:Moray cods nei (Muraenolepis spp); NOX:Antarctic rockcods, noties nei (Nototheniidae); MZZ:Marine fishes nei (Osteichthyes); KCF:Globose king crab (Paralomis formosa); Blackbelly lantern shark (Etmopterus lucifer); SEC:Harbour seal (Phoca vitulina); SRX:Rays, stingrays, mantas nei (Rajiformes); SKH:Various sharks nei (Selachimorpha(Pleurotremata)); (Rajiformes); SSK:Kaup's arrowtooth eel (Synaphobranchus kaupii).

#### 1.4 IUU catch

IUU fishing activity in the SEAFO CA has been reported to the Secretariat latest in 2012, but the extent of IUU fishing is at present unknown.

#### 2. Stock distribution and identity

Patagonian toothfish is a southern circumpolar, eurybathic species (70-1600m), associated with shelves of the sub-Antarctic islands usually north of 55°S. Young stages are pelagic (North, 2002). The species occurs in the Kerguelen-Heard Ridge, islands of the Scotia Arc and the northern part of the Antarctic Peninsula (Hureau, 1985; DeWitt et al., 1990). This species is also known from the southern coast of Chile northward to Peru and the coast of Argentina, especially in the Patagonian area (DeWitt, 1990), and also present in Discovery and Meteor seamounts in the SE Atlantic (Figure 3) and El Cano Ridge in the South Indian Ocean (López-Abellán and Gonzalez, 1999, López-Abellán, 2005).

In SEAFO CA the stock structure of the species is unknown. The CCAMLR Scientific Committee in 2009 noted that in most years (since 2003) the main species caught in CCAMLR sub-area 48.6 (adjacent to and directly south of SEAFO Division D) is *D. eleginoides*. The distribution of the species appears to be driven by the sub-Antarctic front which extends into the SEAFO CA.




Figure 3: Species geographical distribution in the SEAFO CA (source: Species profile on the SEAFO website).


#### 3. Data available for assessments, life history parameters and other population information


#### 3.1 Fisheries and surveys data


The number of fishing sets sampled from 2006 onwards indicates a good sampling level in line with the SEAFO preliminary guidelines for data collection (Table 4). On average 20 specimens were measured per sampled fishing set, which is considered acceptable given the length range of the exploited population. It will be necessary to apply in future this sampling effort of 20 individuals in all sampled fishing sets (Figure 4).


Table 4. Annual	analysis of	sampling effort	conducted on	board fishing	vessel.


Year	No. of Sets sampled	Mean number of Individuals sampled per set	Min. Individuals sampled per set	Max. Individuals sampled per set	Mean sample size/tonne
2006	146	22.16	1	31	-
2007	222	11.61	1	57	-
2008	120	23.69	2	110	-
2009	275	17.97	1	58	0.13
2010	125	26.91	1	60	0.32
2011	263	32.95	1	60	0.16
2012	298	20.58	1	57	0.17
2013	164	19.87	1	70	0.32
2014	176	25.50	3	50	0.35

















**Figure 4:** Frequency distribution of sample size per set. Data from Observer Reports submitted to SEAFO. N = number of sets sampled per year; n = total number of individuals sampled.

#### 3.2 Length data and frequency distribution

Figure 5 shows the annual total length frequency distributions of Patagonian toothfish catches based on the observer data from all fleets submitted to SEAFO. Length frequency distributions for the period 2006-2013 suggest a shift towards smaller lengths in the catches in more recent years. The proportion of large fish appears to be declining.




Figure 5: Annual total length frequency distributions D. eleginoidesraised to total catches per year for SEAFO CA Sub-Area D.

#### 3.3 Length-weight relationships

Table 5 shows the length-weight relationships by sex based on observer data from Japanese fleet in 2013.

**Table 5:** Length-weight relationships by sex (based on 2013 Japanese observer data)

Samples	a	b	$r^2$	n
Males	1E-06	3.4484	0.9768	405
Females	2E-06	3.4296	0.9579	860

#### 3.4 Age data and growth parameters

There is no available information for this species in SEAFO CA.

#### 3.5 Reproductive parameters

There is no available information for this species in SEAFO CA.

#### 3.6 Natural mortality

There is no available information for this species in SEAFO CA.

#### 3.7 Feeding and trophic relationships (including species interaction)

There is no available information for this species in SEAFO CA.

#### 3.8 Tagging and migration

Eleven specimens were tagged in Subarea D in 2006 and fourteen in 2010 (Spanish flagged Viking Bay vessel). However, there is no available information on recoveries of tagged specimens or on tagged specimens tagged at adjacent areas of CCAMLR.

#### 4. Stock assessment status

There are no agreed stock assessments.

#### 4.1 Harvest control rules

The harvest control rule (below) was adopted in 2014.

$$TAC_{y+1} = \begin{cases} TAC_{y} \times (1 + \lambda_{u} \times slope) & if \quad slope \ge 0 \\ TAC_{y} \times (1 + \lambda_{d} \times slope) & if \quad slope < 0 \end{cases}$$

Where 'Slope' = average slope of the Biomass Indicator (CPUE) in the recent 5 years; and  $\lambda u$ : TAC control coefficient if slope > 0 (Stock seems to be growing):  $\lambda u$ =1  $\lambda d$ : TAC control coefficient if slope < 0 (Stock seems to be decreasing):  $\lambda d$ =2

The TAC generated by this HCR is constrained to  $\pm$  5% of the TAC in the preceding year.

#### 5. Incidental mortality and bycatch of fish and invertebrates

*Incidental mortality (seabirds, mammals and turtles)* 

In the SEAFO database there are records of three seabirds having been caught during Japanese longline daytime fishing in 2014. The seabirds caught were recorded by the ID codes "PUG" – *Puffinus gravis* (Great shearwater) & "DIM" – *Thalassarche melanophris* (Southern black-browed albatross).

#### 5.1 Fish bycatch

Table 3 shows the bycatch species in the Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) Fishery and its weights based on the observer reports. SC noted that the major bycatch is grenadiers (Macrouridae - GRV) and the bycatch is discarded. The impact of this bycatch on grenadiers spp. is unknown.

#### 5.2 Invertebrate bycatch including VME taxa

Table 6 shows the bycatch of VME species and its amount based on the observer data for the period 2010-2015. Figure 7 shows their geographic location.

**Table 6:** Bycatch from Patagonia toothfish fishery (kg)

	20:	10	2011	2012	2013	2014	
Species	D0	D1	D0	<b>D</b> 0	<b>D0</b>	D0	<b>D</b> 0
Gorgonians (Gorgoniidae)		13.6	3.8	30.3	1.2	2.3	2.6
Hard corals, madrepores nei (Scleractinia)		0.1	15.4	17.6		0.3	2.8
Black corals and thorny corals (Antipatharia)		0.5		0.2			
Basket and brittle stars (Ophiuroidea)	1.3	2.0					
Sea pens (Pennatulacea)		0.3		0.0			
Soft corals (Alcyonacea)		1.0		1.2			
Feather stars and sea lilies (Crinoidea)	0.9	0.1					




Figure 7: Locations for incidental bycatch of VME species from SEAFO Patagonian toothfish fishery.

#### 5.3 Incidental mortality and bycatch mitigation methods

Offal dumping during hauling and bird scaring devices (Tori lines) are mandated to mitigate seabird bycatch.

### 5.4 Lost and abandoned gear

Figure 8 shows locations and amount of the lost gears based on the observer data from 2010 to 2013.




Figure 8: Locations and amount of the lost gears (hooks with attached short line) based on observer data (2011-2013).

### 5.5 Ecosystem implications and effects

There is no formal evaluation available for this fishery.

#### 6. Current conservation measures and management advice

In 2014 the Commission adopted a TAC of 276t in Sub-Area D, and zero tonnes for the remainder of the SEAFO CA for 2014 and 2015 (CM 29/2014). SC (2015) suggests a TAC of 264 tons in Sub-Area D and zero tonnes for the remainder of the SEAFO CA for 2016.

**Table 7**: Other Conservation Measures that are applicable to this fishery

unie ii omiei compei ium	on recusares that are appreciate to this fishery
Conservation Measure	On the Conservation of Sharks Caught in Association with Fisheries Managed by SEAFO
04/06	
Conservation Measure	To reduce sea turtle mortality in SEAFO fishing operations.
14/09	
Conservation Measure	On reducing incidental bycatch of Seabirds in the SEAFO Convention Area
25/12	
Conservation Measure	Management of Vulnerable Deep Water Habitats and Ecosystems in the SEAFO Convention
18/10	Area
Conservation Measure	Total Allowable Catches and related conditions for Alfonsino and Orange Roughy for 2014
27/13	for Patagonian Toothfish and Deep-Sea Red Crab for 2014 and 2015 in the SEAFO
	Convention Area.

	Bottom Fishing Activities and Vulnerable MarineEcosystems in the SEAFO
29/14	Convention Area

#### 7. References

- Arana, P.2009. Reproductive aspects of the Patagonian toothfish (Dissostichus eleginoides) off southern Chile. Lat. Am. J. Aquat. Res., 37(3): 381-394.
- Dewitt, H.H., P.C. Heemstra and O. Gon. 1990. Nototheniidae. In: Fishes of the Southern Ocean, O. Gon and P.C. Heemstra (Eds.). J.L.B. Smith Institute of Ichthyology, Grahamstown, South Africa: 279-331.
- Horn P. L. 2002. Age and growth of Patagonian toothfish (Dissostichus eleginoides) and Antarctic toothfish (D. mawsoni) in waters from the New Zealand subantarctic to the Ross Sea, Antarctica Fisheries Research, 56:275-287.
- Hureau, J.C, 1985. Family Nothoteniidae-Antarctic rock cods. In: FAO species identification sheets for fishery purposes. Southern Ocean: Fishing Areas 48, 58 and 88 (CCAMLR Convention Area). Fischer, W. And J.C. Hureau (Eds). FAO, Rome, vols. I-II, 470 p.
- López-Abellán L.J. and J. González. 1999. Results of the longline survey on the seamounts in the southeast Atlantic and in the CCAMLR Subarea 48.6 (Atlantic Ocean) and Division 58.4.4 (Indian Ocean). CCAMLR Science, Vol. 6: 99-116.
- López-Abellán, L.J. 2005. Patagonian toothfish in international waters of the Southwest Indian Ocean (Statistical Area 51). CCAMLR Science, 12: 207–214.
- SC-SEAFO-2011. Report of the 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the SEAFO Scientific Committee. *SEAFO SC Report* 2011. SEAFO, Swakopmund, Namibia.
- SC-SEAFO-2013. Report of the 9<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the SEAFO Scientific Committee. *SEAFO SC Report* 2013. SEAFO, Swakopmund, Namibia.