APPENDIX VII - Stock Status Report - Orange roughy

STATUS REPORT

Hoplostethus atlanticus

Common Name: Orange roughy - ORY



2016

Updated 12 October 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. Desc | ription of the fishery | 43 |
|----------|--|----|
| 1.1 | Description of fishing vessels and fishing gear | |
| 1.2 | Spatial and temporal distribution of fishing | |
| 1.3 | Reported retained catches and discards | |
| 1.4 | IUU catch | 46 |
| 2. Stock | distribution and identity | 46 |
| 3. Data | available for assessment, life history parameters and other population information | 46 |
| 3.1 | Fisheries and survey data | |
| 3.2 | Length data and frequencies distribution | 47 |
| 3.3 | Length-weight relationships | 47 |
| 3.4 | Age data and growth parameters | 47 |
| 3.5 | Reproductive parameters | 47 |
| 3.6 | Natural mortality | |
| 3.7 | Feeding and trophic relationships (including species interaction) | 47 |
| 3.8 | Tagging and migration | |
| 4. Stock | c assessment | |
| 4.1 | Available abundance indices and estimates of biomass | 47 |
| 4.2 | Data used | |
| 4.3 | Methods used | |
| 4.4 | Results | |
| 4.5 | Discussion | |
| 4.6 | Conclusion | |
| 4.7 | Biological reference points and harvest control rules | |
| 5. Incid | ental mortality and bycatch of fish and invertabrates | |
| 5.1 | Incidental and bycatch statistics (seabirds, mammals and turtles) | |
| 5.2 | Fish bycatch | |
| | nformation available for the SEAFO CA. | |
| 5.3 | Invertebrate bycatch including VME taxa | |
| 5.4 | Incidental mortality and bycatch mitigation methods | |
| 5.5 | Lost and abandoned gear | |
| 5.6 | Ecosystem implications and effects | |
| | ent conservation measures and management advice | |
| 6.1 | Current conservation measures | |
| 6.2 | Management advice | |
| 7. Refer | rences | 49 |

Description of the fishery

1.1 Description of fishing vessels and fishing gear

Exploration for orange roughy first started in South Africa prior to 1994 but emphasis soon shifted to Namibia when an exploratory fishing license was given to a Namibian fishing company to search for commercial deep-water fish species. The fishery expanded, extending their fishing range into SEAFO CA. By 2008, a three year moratorium on orange roughy was enforced in Namibia and the fishery has not been re-opened yet.

Table 1 shows vessels that operated between 1995 and 2005 in the SEAFO CA. These vessels were also involved in the Alfonsino fishery during the same period.

| Tuble 1. Orunge roughly. Theet miorimation, SEAN O Division B1. | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------------------|--------|---------|------------|------|------|
| Flag | ID | Name | Length | GRT | Built | HP | IRCS |
| Nam | L737 | Southern Aquarius | 54 | | 01/01/1974 | 3000 | V5SH |
| Nam | L913 | Emanguluko | 31 | 483.00 | 01/01/1990 | 1850 | V5SD |
| Nam | L892 | Petersen | 43 | 650.00 | 01/01/1979 | | V5RG |
| Nam | L861 | Will Watch | 69 | 1587.00 | 01/01/1972 | 2116 | ZMWW |
| Nam | L918 | Hurinis | 37 | 784.00 | 01/01/1987 | 1680 | V5SW |
| Maur | L1159 | Bell Ocean II | 57 | 1899.00 | 01/01/1990 | 3342 | 3BLG |
| Nam | L830 | Seaflower | 92 | 3179.75 | 01/01/1972 | 4800 | V5HO |
| | | | | | | | |

Table 1: Orange roughy: Fleet information, SEAFO Division B1.

Seven Namibian vessels (Table 1) were involved for the period that the fishery occurred in the SEAFO CA. The vessels employed the standard New Zealand "Arrow" rough bottom trawl with cut-away lower wings. Sweep and bridle lengths were 100 meters and 50 meters respectively. A "rock hopper" bobbin rig was used. The net had a 5-6 meter headline height when towed at 3- 3.5 knots and had an estimated wingspread of 15 meters. The cod end had a mesh of 110 mm. Each vessel spends on average 12 days at sea.

1.2 Spatial and temporal distribution of fishing

Fishing mainly occurred on Ewing seamount and Valdivia Bank within the SEAFO CA. These operations started in 1995 and continued until 2005, with the exception of 1998 when no fishing took place. The fishing season usually extends from January to December and catches peak in winter months (May to July), which coincides with the spawning season of orange roughy.




Figure 1: Geographical location of fishing activities in the SEAFO CA.

1.3 **Reported retained catches and discards**

For all the fishing grounds the home port is the same as the landing port, with Walvis Bay and Lüderitz the most important ports. All available landing information is presented in Table 2. However, the bulk of orange roughy catches were recorded within the Namibian EEZ (Table 3). A total of 1270 trawls were made landing about 290 tonnes of orange roughy.

| Nation | Namibi | Namibia | | Norway | | South Africa | |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|--|
| Fishing method | Bottom trawl B1 | | Bottom trawl | | Bottom trawl B1 | | |
| Management Area | | | | | | | |
| Catch details (t) | Retai ned | Discar ded | Retai ned | Discar ded | Retai ned | Discar ded | |
| 1995 | 40 | | N/F | | 1 | | |
| 1996 | 8 | | N/F | | 0.04 | | |
| 1997 | 5 | | 22 | | 27#** | | |
| 1998 | N/F | N/F | 12 | | | | |
| 1999 | <1 | | N/F | N/F | | | |
| 2000 | 75 | | 0 | | | | |
| 2001 | 94 | | N/F | N/F | | | |

Table 2: Catches of orange roughy in tonnes made by Namibia, Norway and RSA in the SEAFO CA

| 2002 | 9 | | N/F | N/F | | |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 2003 | 27 | | N/F | N/F | | |
| 2004 | 15 | | N/F | N/F | | |
| 2005 | 18 | | N/F | N/F | | |
| 2006 | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | | |
| 2007 | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F |
| 2008 | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F |
| 2009 | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F |
| 2010 | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F |
| 2011 | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F |
| 2012 | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F |
| 2013 | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F |
| 2014* | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F |
| 2015 | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F |
| 2016 | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F | N/F |

N/F = No Fishing. Blank fields = No data available. * Provisional (Aug 2014) ** Sum of Catches from 1993 to 1997. # Values taken from the Japp (1999).

Table 3: Orange roughy landings (tonnes) in SEAFO CA and Namibian EEZ

| Year | SEAFO CA | Namibian EEZ |
|------|----------|--------------|
| 1994 | N/F | 1 872 |
| 1995 | 40 | 6 288 |
| 1996 | 8 | 17 381 |
| 1997 | 5 | 14 729 |
| 1998 | N/F | 10 040 |
| 1999 | <1 | 2 699 |
| 2000 | 75 | 1 344 |
| 2001 | 94 | 874 |
| 2002 | 9 | 1 985 |
| 2003 | 27 | 1 730 |
| 2004 | 15 | 1 106 |
| 2005 | 18 | 297 |
| 2006 | N/F | 429 |
| 2007 | N/F | 288 |
| 2008 | N/F | N/F |
| 2009 | N/F | N/F |
| 2010 | N/F | N/F |
| 2011 | N/F | N/F |
| 2012 | N/F | N/F |
| 2013 | N/F | N/F |
| 2014 | N/F | N/F |
| 2015 | N/F | N/F |
| 2016 | N/F | N/F |

1.4 Illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) catch

IUU fishing activity in the SEAFO CA has been reported to the Secretariat latest in 2012, but the extent of IUU fishing is at present unknown.

Stock distribution and identity

Orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*) is distributed globally (Fig. 3), but predominantly in the Southern Hemisphere. In the SE Atlantic orange roughy may most probably be regarded as a single stock (management unit). In the BCLME region the species occurs within the economic zones of each of the coastal states as well as in the SEAFO CA.




Figure 3: Global orange roughy distribution (Branch 2001).

The aggregating behaviour of orange roughy contributed to its vulnerability to overexploitation globally. Spawning aggregations of orange roughy have been targeted in Namibia during winter. Outside the spawning seasons catches were found to be lower due to a more dispersed resource. Orange roughy are also extremely slow-growing and estimates of maximum age are in excess of 100 years.

Recruitment to the fishery is poorly understood as juveniles are not found in significant quantities. Adults have been caught in small amounts in both Angolan and South African waters, but not in large spawning aggregations as in Namibia. Orange roughy distribution also extends beyond the economic zones of the BCLME countries with good catches reported for example on the Valdivia Bank on the South Atlantic Ridge as well as on the fringes of the Agulhas Bank and Walvis Ridge in the southern Benguela.

Data available for assessment, life history parameters and other population information Fisheries and survey data

Catch records for the period 1995 to 2005 are available (see Table 2 above). The number of trawls made per year are depicted in table 4 and shows that more hauls were recorded in years when the catches were high.

Deep see fish surveys were conducted in the SEAFO CA by the Norwegian vessel, Dr Fridjof Nansen and by the Spanish vessel.

 Table 4: Number of trawls observed per year

| Year | Number of trawls |
|------|------------------|
| 1995 | 20 |
| 1996 | 223 |
| 1997 | 188 |
| 1998 | 0 |
| 1999 | 16 |
| 2000 | 327 |
| 2001 | 295 |
| 2002 | 40 |
| 2003 | 63 |
| 2004 | 46 |
| 2005 | 61 |
| | |

Length data and frequencies distribution

No information available for SEAFO CA.

Length-weight relationships

No information available for SEAFO CA.

Age data and growth parameters

No information available for SEAFO CA.

Reproductive parameters

No information available for SEAFO CA.

Natural mortality

No information available for SEAFO CA.

Feeding and trophic relationships (including species interaction)

No information available for SEAFO CA.

Tagging and migration

No information available for SEAFO CA.

Stock assessment

Available abundance indices and estimates of biomass

The annual CPUE (total annual catch divided by number of trawls) are shown in figure 4. The CPUE was the highest in 1995 and thereafter decreased rapidly to reach the lowest CPUE in 1999. Since then the CPUE seems to have stabilized at a low level until 2005 after which there are no data. It has not been confirmed that this CPUE index reflects stock abundance for a highly aggregating species like orange roughy.




Figure 4: CPUE of orange roughy in tonnes per trawl in Division B1 (SEAFO SC Report 2006).

Data used

No data since 2005 available.

Methods used

No data since 2005 available.

Conclusion

Since there has been no fishery in recent years or no other fishery independent data available within the SEAFO CA, no assessment can be done at the moment.

Biological reference points and harvest control rules

No biological reference points and/or harvest control rules have been established for this stock as yet.

Incidental mortality and bycatch of fish and invertebrates

Incidental and bycatch statistics (seabirds, mammals and turtles) No information available for the SEAFO CA.

Fish bycatch

Some of the bycatch species recorded are: Alfonsino (*Beryx splendens*), Black Oreo Dory (*Allocyttus niger*), Pelagic armourhead (*Pseudopentaceros richardsoni*), Black Cardinal fish (*Epigonus telescopus*), Smooth Oreo Dory (*Pseudocyttus maculatus*), Warty Oreo Dory (*Allocyttus verrucosus*) and various deep sea shark species.

Invertebrate bycatch including VME taxa

No information available for the SEAFO CA.

Incidental mortality and bycatch mitigation methods

No information available for the SEAFO CA.

Lost and abandoned gear

No lost and abandoned gear data was reported for orange roughy fishery in the SEAFO CA.

Ecosystem implications and effects

No Information available for the SEAFO CA

Current conservation measures and management advice

Current conservation measures

The 2016 management measure pertaining to orange roughy in the SEAFO CA (CM 31/15) has zero tonnes (moratorium on directed fishery) and a 4 tonnes bycatch allowance in Division B1, and 50 tonnes in the remainder of the SEAFO CA;

| | medsure relevant to orange roughly inshery |
|---------------|---|
| Conservation | On the Conservation of Sharks Caught in Association with Fisheries Managed by |
| Measure 04/06 | SEAFO |
| Conservation | To Reduce Sea Turtle Mortality in SEAFO Fishing Operations. |
| Measure 14/09 | |
| Conservation | On Reducing Incidental Bycatch of Seabirds in the SEAFO Convention Area |
| Measure 25/12 | |
| Conservation | On the Management of Vulnerable Deep Water Habitats and Ecosystems in the |
| Measure 30/15 | SEAFO Convention Area |
| Conservation | On Total Allowable Catches and related conditions for Patagonian Toothfish, |
| Measure 31/15 | orange roughy, Alfonsino and Deep-Sea Red Crab in the SEAFO Convention Area |
| | in 2014 |

Table 5: Conservation measure relevant to orange roughy fishery

Management advice

SC considered available data on orange roughy since the inception of the fisheries in SEAFO CA.

There is no fishery data available since 2005 for orange roughy within the SEAFO CA, as a result SC cannot conduct stock assessment of the orange roughy stock within the Convention Area.

SC recommends a moratorium for 2017 and 2018 on directed fishery in Division B1 and allowance for bycatch limit as proportion (10%) of the average of landings from the last five years with positive catches (i.e. 2001-2005), equivalent to 4 tonnes.

The SC did not consider the allowance of a 50 tonnes TAC in the remainder of the area and cannot review the current status quo, due to a lack of new information.

A harvest control rule shall be developed for orange roughy in the future as data becomes available.

References

Boyer, D.C., Kirchner, C.H., McAllister, M.K., Staby, A. & Staalesen, B.I. (2001). The orange roughy Fishery of Namibia: Lessons to be learned about managing a developing Fishery. *South African Journal of Marine Science*, 23, 205-221.

Branch, T.A. (2001). A Review of Orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*) Fisheries, Estimation Methods, Biology and Stock Structure. Payne, A. I. L., S. C. Pillar & R. J. M. Crawford, eds. A Decade of Namibian Fisheries Science. South African Journal of Marine Science. 23:181 - 203.

Anon, 2010. Social economic studies. Deepfishman. Case Study 1A Report. Namibian Orange roughy. Institue of Ecomics Studies. University of Iceland.

Anon, 2011. Capricon Fisheries Monitoring. State of Stock Review Report No. 2. In: Japp D.W., M. G. Purves and S. Wilkinson, *Status of the Fishery Resources in the Benguela Current Large Marine Ecosystem*. Cape Town.

Anon, 2008. Ministry of Fisheries and Marine Resources. State of the Resource TAC recommendations report; orange roughy. Namibia